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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Human Rights in China (HRIC) respectfully submits this report to the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (Committee), in advance of the Committee’s review of the Government of the People’s Republic of China’s (State party) combined tenth, eleventh, twelfth and thirteenth periodic reports on implementation of the International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination (Convention).

HRIC recognizes that the challenges of Convention implementation are complex and ongoing. The State party’s report focuses on formal legislation and policies, and provides limited information on implementation and impact. HRIC’s report addresses specific areas of concern and presents a number of recommendations with the goal of providing constructive input into the Committee’s review process and advancing the State party’s implementation of the Convention.

Key Areas of Concern

HRIC’s report focuses on the following areas of concern:

- **Definition of racial discrimination**: The State party’s failure to adopt a definition of racial discrimination in conformance with the Convention undermines both the Committee’s evaluation of the State party’s obligations and the State party’s ability to implement the Convention.

- **Descent-based discrimination under the hukou system**: Under the hukou household registration system, the distinction between rural and urban status, a descent-based characterization, substantially impacts the equal enjoyment of fundamental rights by rural hukou holders.

- **Limited disaggregated information and information on implementation and impact**: Although the State party addresses ethnic groups, its report is limited to formal descriptions of anti-discrimination laws and policies. Yet, as noted by this Committee in its prior reviews, there are serious ongoing concerns about China’s ethnic groups and their right to equal enjoyment of fundamental rights and freedoms. Without baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, a meaningful assessment of implementation progress is hampered. The lack of socio-economic data disaggregated by ethnicity undermines the Committee’s review as well as the State party’s efforts to address more effectively the inequalities faced by ethnic groups, particularly the Tibetan, Uyghur and Mongol groups. Disaggregated socio-economic data would also be useful for identifying and combating descent-based discrimination against rural hukou holders. The State party’s provision of generalized information, presented without comparative indicators, undermines its efforts to undertake measures to eliminate all forms of racial discrimination. Moreover, the State party’s state secrets system presents obstacles to obtaining transparent and reliable information.
• **State secrets system:** The State party’s state secrets system presents obstacles to obtaining transparent, comprehensive and reliable information relevant to Convention review and implementation, including the State party’s reporting and ability to provide relevant and requested information.

**Summary of Recommendations**

HRIC’s recommendations to the Committee are summarized generally as follows:

• **Definition of racial discrimination:** HRIC urges the Committee to press the State party to adopt a definition of racial discrimination in conformance with the Convention.

• **Descent-based discrimination under the hukou system:** HRIC urges the Committee to request that the State party provide specific information on current reforms to the household registration or hukou system, including the progress, timeframes, and impact of these reforms.

• **Limited disaggregated information and information on implementation and impact:** HRIC urges the Committee to require more detailed and comprehensive responses, including baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, from the State party to the Committee’s prior information requests, and to make additional requests for statistical data disaggregated by basis of discrimination, particularly regarding the apportionment of relative benefits and burdens of economic development for Tibetans, Uyghurs and Mongols, and for rural inhabitants and rural-to-urban migrants.

• **State secrets system:** HRIC urges the Committee to request clarification from the State party as to whether and to what extent information requested from the State party is in fact classified as state secrets or why such information has not yet been provided. The Committee should also request that the State party provide updated information on the current status of reforms (if any) to the state secrets system.
RECOMMENDATIONS

HRIC makes the following recommendations in connection with the Committee’s review of the State party’s report. The recommendations are grouped according to three main areas of concern: first, the State party’s failure to adopt a definition of racial discrimination in conformance with the Convention; second, the State party’s failure to address descent-based discrimination under the hukou system; and third, the State party’s failure to provide adequate information in response to the Committee’s requests, thereby undermining an effective review.

Definition of racial discrimination

- HRIC urges the Committee to press on its 2001 recommendation that the State party adopt a definition of racial discrimination in compliance with Article 1(1) of the Convention. Specifically, the Committee should urge the State party to adopt a definition of racial discrimination that clearly prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin, as set forth under Article 1(1).

Descent-based discrimination under the hukou system

- HRIC urges the Committee to press the State party to provide specific information on current reforms to the hukou household registration system, including specific details concerning the progress, timeframes, and impact of these reforms.
- In addressing inequalities between rural and urban areas, HRIC also urges the Committee to request that the State party provide more detailed and comprehensive information, including baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, regarding the enjoyment of economic, social, and cultural rights by rural inhabitants, including rural-to-urban migrants, and the measures taken to ensure that rural inhabitants have equal enjoyment of these rights.

Limited disaggregated information and information on implementation and impact

- HRIC urges the Committee to press the State party to provide more detailed responses to the Committee’s 2001 requests for:
  - Socio-economic data, disaggregated by national and ethnic group;
  - Information on measures taken to prevent gender-related racial discrimination, including in the area of trafficking and reproductive health; and
  - Statistics, disaggregated by nationality and region, relating to detention, imprisonment, alleged, investigated and prosecuted cases of torture, death sentences and executions.
In addition to requesting more thorough responses to its prior requests, the Committee should press the State party to provide additional information including:

With regard to the arrest, detention, imprisonment and mistreatment of Tibetans in the wake of the March 2008 demonstrations, the Committee should request information detailing:

- The number of persons arrested, detained and imprisoned after the March 2008 demonstrations, and the current status or conditions of those persons;
- The number of cases investigated or prosecuted as a result of the March 2008 demonstrations, and the current status or outcomes of those cases;
- Whether and to what extent arrested, detained and imprisoned individuals have access to legal counsel, and the number of investigations or prosecutions in which the held individuals have and have not been represented by legal counsel; and
- Whether and to what extent the requested information is in fact classified as state secrets or may instead be made available for review by the Committee.

Regarding the State party’s participation in regional agreements, the Committee should request information on the State party’s participation in the SCO and the impact of State party measures to implement the SCO on the State party’s obligations under international law, including the Convention. The Committee should also request specific and detailed information on:

- The databases maintained by the SCO’s RATS mechanism, the types of individualized information that is compiled and analyzed by RATS, and how that information is used and exchanged by the State party as an SCO member;
- The number of individuals extradited to or from the State party’s jurisdiction pursuant to the Shanghai Convention, and for what crimes; and
- Protections for ensuring the appropriate balance of national security and protection of rights under international law standards.

The Committee should also request detailed statistics, disaggregated by ethnicity, on the impact of economic development and ecological management policies on the rights of the Mongols and other ethnic groups, including:

- The number of ethnic group households that have been displaced and relocated by the State party’s desertification prevention programs;
➢ The locations to which such households have been relocated, and the numbers relocated to the respective locations;

➢ Whether and to what extent displaced ethnic group households have been compensated for dispossession of their land and assets, including livestock;

➢ Whether and to what extent the State party has implemented economic, social and cultural adjustment programs, including occupational skills training, for displaced ethnic group households relocated to urban areas; and

➢ Whether and to what extent displaced members of ethnic groups have been able to find new occupations or livelihoods in their new locations.

State secrets system

- To the extent that any of the information requested above is implicated by the State party’s state secrets system, the Committee should specifically ask the State party to explain whether and to what extent information requested from the State party is in fact classified as state secrets or may instead be made available for review by the Committee.

- The Committee should also request that the State party provide updated information on the current status of reforms (if any) to the state secrets system, and whether regulations hindering implementation of the Convention will be assessed under such reforms.
PROGRESS UNDER SPECIFIC ARTICLES OF THE CONVENTION

ARTICLE 1: DEFINITION OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Article 1(1)

In this Convention, the term "racial discrimination" shall mean any distinction, exclusion, restriction or preference based on race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin which has the purpose or effect of nullifying or impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural or any other field of public life.

Failure to adopt a definition of racial discrimination

1. The State party has not adopted a definition of racial discrimination in accordance with Article 1(1) of the Convention, as recommended in the Committee’s 2001 Concluding Observations. None of the laws and regulations cited in the State party’s report, including its Constitution, the Regional Ethnic Autonomy Law (REAL) and the Rules of the State Council on Implementation of the REAL, contain a definition of racial discrimination in conformity with the Convention. The State party’s response to the Committee’s recommendation does not address all the bases set forth in the Convention.

2. The failure to adopt a definition of racial discrimination substantially hinders the State party’s ability to pursue, by all appropriate means and without delay, a policy to eliminate racial discrimination. It also hinders the Committee’s capacity to assess measures taken by the State party to give effect to the Convention’s provisions, including the elimination of distinctions, exclusions, restrictions and preferences based on race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin having the purpose or effect of nullifying or impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

Failure to incorporate descent-based discrimination into legislative, judicial, administrative or other measures to end racial discrimination

3. The State party’s report, along with its Constitution, laws and regulations, fail to address descent as a prohibited basis of racial discrimination as set forth in Article 1(1). In the preamble to the Committee’s General Recommendation XXIX on Article 1, Paragraph 1, of the Convention (Descent), the Committee urged States parties to take “measures to eliminate descent-based discrimination and remedy its consequences.” The Committee affirmed that such discrimination includes
discrimination against “members of communities based on forms of social stratification,” including “systems of inherited status,” and instructed that such discrimination could be recognized by, among other things, the “inability or restricted ability to alter inherited status.”

4. Under the State party’s household registration or hukou system, local authorities assign hukou designations to citizens that restrict eligibility for important social benefits based on “agricultural” (rural) or “non-agricultural” (urban) status. Moreover, children inherit their parents’ hukou status at birth, and despite attempts at official reform, the majority of rural hukou holders remain highly restricted in their ability to change their inherited status. The hukou system perpetuates distinctions, exclusions, restrictions and preferences based on descent, which have the effect of nullifying and impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms.

5. As a descent-based group, China’s rural hukou holders suffer discrimination resulting from a system of social stratification and inherited status. In fact, in Paragraph 69 of its report, the State party itself acknowledges serious rural-urban inequalities in areas including poverty, infrastructure, and access to education and healthcare. Adopting a definition of racial discrimination in conformance with the Convention that would treat rural hukou holders as a descent-based group, would advance not only the State party’s implementation of the Convention, but would also enhance its efforts to address the inequitable treatment of China’s approximately one billion rural hukou holders, including over 200 million migrant workers.

6. HRIC urges the Committee to press on its 2001 recommendation that the State party adopt a definition of racial discrimination in compliance with Article 1(1) of the Convention. Specifically, the Committee should urge the State party to adopt a definition of racial discrimination that clearly prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, colour, descent, or national or ethnic origin.
ARTICLE 2: IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES

Article 2(1)

*States Parties condemn racial discrimination and undertake to pursue by all appropriate means and without delay a policy of eliminating racial discrimination in all its forms and promoting understanding among all races...*

**Detailed and disaggregated statistics support the effective implementation of the Convention**

7. This Committee has made repeated requests for *disaggregated socio-economic data* necessary to evaluate the State party’s legislative, judicial, administrative or other measures and progress in implementing the Convention. Similar requests have been made in the concluding observations and recommendations of other treaty bodies, including the **Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR)**, the **Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)**, the **Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC)** and the **Committee against Torture (CAT)**. HRIC notes that the State party report references its participation in the CESCR and CEDAW review processes as progress in implementing the Convention.  

A table of representative requests for more detailed statistics, by both this Committee and other treaty bodies, is attached to this report as **Annex 1**.

8. Although the State party’s report contains some disaggregated statistics, the provided information does not fully respond to the Committee’s prior requests. First, because charts annexed to the State party’s report are limited to “ethnic groups,” they exclude data that could be relevant to addressing the full range of prohibited discrimination, including descent-based discrimination. Second, while helpful, groupings of the populations of China’s ethnic groups, both generally and by gender, provide insufficient detail to fully assess the scope and impact of racial discrimination.

9. Moreover, certain aggregated economic statistics in the State party’s report paint an incomplete picture of ethnic discrimination in the Tibetan Autonomous Region, (TAR), the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR) and the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (IMAR). This is because the statistics aggregate information, for example, incomes of ethnic group members with those of newly-settled Han Chinese, who have migrated to these autonomous regions to enjoy development-generated employment that is largely unavailable to members of Uyghur, Tibetan or Mongol ethnicity. Without baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, a meaningful assessment of implementation progress is hampered. Detailed statistics for incomes and enjoyment of social and economic benefits,
disaggregated by ethnicity, and for impact of Han migration and development policies and incentives on local ethnic groups would assist the State party in addressing disparities between ethnic groups and Han Chinese migrants.

**Obstacles posed by the state secrets system**

10. One significant obstacle to obtaining accurate, comprehensive and reliable information, including information relevant to addressing racial discrimination, is China’s state secrets system. The state secrets system consists of the *Law on the Protection of State Secrets of the People’s Republic of China* (1988)\(^1\), the *Measures for Implementing the Law on the Protection of State Secrets of the People’s Republic of China* (1990)\(^2\), and relevant provisions of the *State Security Law*\(^3\), the *Criminal Law*\(^4\), the *Criminal Procedure Law*\(^5\), and the *Supreme People’s Court Interpretation of Certain Issues Regarding the Specific Application of the Law When Trying Cases of Stealing, Gathering, Procuring or Illegally Providing State Secrets or Intelligence Outside of the Country*.\(^6\) The state secrets system sweeps a vast universe of data into a non-transparent black hole of protected information. The overbroad reach of the state secrets system makes it exceedingly difficult to constructively assess the State party’s implementation progress.

11. State secrets are classified as “Top Secret” (绝密), “Highly Secret” (机密) and “Secret” (秘密). Classifications cannot be challenged or appealed. In addition, government documents that are not classified as state secrets can be designated as “neibu” (Internal) (内部) and banned from public circulation. There is no clear line between classified state secrets and neibu information because state secrets charges may be applied to a case that involves only neibu information. Also, information can be classified as state secrets retroactively. Individuals can be subject to criminal and administrative sanctions, and Party members can be subject to Party sanctions for either knowingly or unknowingly disclosing, leaking, divulging, or possessing state secrets.\(^7\)

12. A wide range of information relevant to the identification and prevention of racial discrimination is classified as state secrets. In fact, entire categories of information related directly to the administration of ethnic group affairs are explicitly classified as “Top Secret” (绝密). The following are examples of regulations classifying relevant information, which would be helpful in evaluating the State party’s implementation of the Convention.
**ETHNICITY-RELATED CATEGORIES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION**

| “Analyses of important developments and information on anything that could seriously harm *ethnic relations*, or that for other *ethnic reasons* could endanger national unity or affect social stability” | Top Secret 绝密 |
| “Strategies and measures for dealing with the occurrence of *major ethnic-related public order* emergencies” | Top Secret 绝密 |
| “Strategies and measures used in handling *ethnic separatist activities*” | Top Secret 绝密 |
| “Secret intelligence involving the coordination of national security, the stability of social administration, *ethnic unity* …and other especially important intelligence” | Top Secret 绝密 |

13. Much of the information specifically requested in the Committee’s 2001 Concluding Observations may also be classified as state secrets. Specifically, the Committee’s requests for disaggregated statistics on *gender-related racial discrimination* in the area of *trafficking* and *reproductive health*, and on *detention, imprisonment, torture, death sentences* and *executions*, may be classified under the state secret system.

A table of state secrets regulations and classifications relevant to the Committee’s requests is attached to this report as **Annex 2**.

14. In response to the Committee’s request for information on the **State Ethnic Affairs Commission (SEAC)**, Paragraph 13 of the State party’s report describes SEAC’s role in “protecting the lawful rights and interests of ethnic minorities and in promoting the development of ethnic areas.” In addition to “formulat[ing] policy measures” to “support and help the ethnic minorities and ethnic areas,” the SEAC also acts with the National Administration for the Protection of State Secrets (NAPSS) to promulgate regulations implementing the State Secrets law, including **classifying information related to implementation of the Convention**. Specifically, as noted above, SEAC and NAPSS regulations classify as **“Top Secret”** “information on anything that could seriously harm *ethnic relations*, or that for other *ethnic reasons* could endanger national unity or affect social stability,” and “strategies and measures” related to **“ethnic-related public order”** emergencies and **“ethnic”**
separatist activities." This raises serious concern over conflicts between SEAC’s stated function of “protecting the lawful rights and interests of ethnic minorities” and its role in controlling information relevant to the State party’s policies and practices that have an impact on the human rights and fundamental freedoms of ethnic groups.27

15. HRIC urges the Committee to press the State party for a more detailed and thorough response to the Committee’s 2001 requests for:

- Socio-economic data, disaggregated by national and ethnic group;
- Information on measures taken to prevent gender-related racial discrimination, including in the area of trafficking and reproductive health; and
- Statistics, disaggregated by nationality and region, relating to detention, imprisonment, alleged, investigated and prosecuted cases of torture, death sentences and executions

16. HRIC also urges the Committee to clarify with the State party whether and to what extent information requested from the State party is in fact classified as state secrets or may instead be made available for review by the Committee. The Committee should also request that the State party provide updated information on the current status of reforms (if any) to the state secrets system, and whether regulations hindering implementation of the Convention will be assessed under such reforms.
ARTICLE 5: EQUAL ENJOYMENT OF RIGHTS

Crackdowns on the civil and political rights of Tibetans

17. The State party’s policies in the TAR have had the effect of nullifying and impairing the recognition, enjoyment and exercise, on an equal footing, of the human rights and fundamental freedoms of Tibetans. These include documented inequities arising from the persistent exclusion of Tibetans from meaningful political participation, the lack of sufficient protections for Tibetan culture and religion, and the disproportionate costs burdened by Tibetans in the course of economic development. The State party’s report also acknowledges the “wide gap” between ethnic regions like the TAR and more economically-developed areas, as well as the extent of “absolute poverty in ethnic agricultural and pastoral areas.”

18. Failure to address fundamental inequalities suffered by Tibetans has contributed to unrest. Regrettably, the State party’s current approach to the Tibet situation, which includes the use of military force, undermines peaceful resolution through dialogue.

19. Particularly disturbing are reliable reports of the arrest, detention, imprisonment and mistreatment of what is believed to be thousands of Tibetans following security crackdowns in the wake of the March 2008 demonstrations. Regrettably, government authorities strictly regulated media access to the areas where demonstrations occurred. Information on the treatment and current status of detained and arrested Tibetans, and official responses and actions in the aftermath of the demonstrations, may be classified as state secrets. This raises serious concerns about the equal enjoyment of civil and political rights under Article 5, including rights to security of the person and protection by the State party against violence and bodily harm, to freedom of opinion and expression, and to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

20. The State party has also placed restrictions on the access of Tibetan detainees to legal assistance and representation. Lawyers seeking to defend the civil and political rights of Tibetan detainees, including lawyers of majority Han Chinese ethnicity, have been questioned by authorities, put under surveillance, had their communications monitored, and have even been stripped of their licenses to practice law. This raises serious concern over the rights of Tibetan detainees to equal treatment before tribunals and in the administration of justice under Article 5, and under the State party’s own Constitution and criminal procedure laws. Such actions also undermine the independence of the legal profession and the State party’s goal of establishing a rule of law. Moreover, by prohibiting Han Chinese citizens from advocating on behalf of their Tibetan neighbors, the State party undermines the promotion of “understanding, tolerance and friendship among nations and racial or ethnical groups” as encouraged under the Convention.
21. HRIC urges the Committee to request the State party to provide detailed information concerning the arrest, detention, imprisonment and mistreatment of Tibetans in the wake of the March 2008 demonstrations. Specifically, the Committee should make requests for information detailing:

- The **number of persons arrested, detained and imprisoned** after the March 2008 demonstrations, and the **current status or conditions** of those persons;
- The **number of cases investigated or prosecuted** as a result of the March 2008 demonstrations, and the **current status or outcomes** of those cases;
- Whether and to what extent **arrested, detained and imprisoned individuals** have **access to legal counsel**, and the **number of investigations or prosecutions** in which the held individuals have and have not been **represented by legal counsel**; and
- Whether and to what extent the requested information is in fact **classified as state secrets** or may instead be made available for review by the Committee.

**National security policies and the rights of Uyghurs**

22. The State party’s report cites developments in the XUAR, including reported increases in aggregated incomes and agricultural and industrial outputs. However, as noted by this Committee, economic development in minority areas does not *ipsos facto* entail the equal enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights in accordance with Article 5(e). Disaggregated information by ethnicity is necessary to assess whether these development policies benefit the predominantly Muslim **Uyghurs**. Without baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, a meaningful assessment of implementation progress is hampered.

23. Reports of the State party’s **harassment and mistreatment** of Uyghurs under the banner of domestic security also raise serious concerns about the equal enjoyment of civil and political rights for Uyghurs, including the right to **freedom of conscience, thought and religion**. The Committee’s 2001 Concluding Observations reflect these concerns, noting that “distinctive religion is integral to the identity” of ethnic groups such as the Uyghurs.

24. The State party’s participation in multilateral cooperation mechanisms such as the **Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO)** raises additional concerns that have **cross-border and regional impacts**. Under the framework of the **Shanghai Convention on Combating Terrorism, Separatism and Extremism (Shanghai Convention)**, the State party is an active member of the **SCO**, which also includes the Republic of Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, the Russian Federation, the Republic of Tajikistan, and the Republic of Uzbekistan. By linking the so-called **“three evils”** of “terrorism,” “separatism” and “extremism” under the Shanghai Convention, the State party risks exploiting the presumptive legitimacy of anti-terrorism measures to violate the rights of Uyghurs.
25. The State party has long characterized the Uyghurs’ peaceful expressions of ethnic, cultural, and religious identity as separatist, extremist or even terrorist threats. Based on this characterization, the State party has cracked down hard against Uyghurs, including by severely curtailing religious and cultural freedoms during the period of Ramadan and increasing arrests and detentions. The State party’s invocation of a “war on terror” and separatist, extremist, or terrorist labels raise serious concerns regarding protections for ensuring an appropriate balance between national security and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms under international norms and standards, such as the Johannesburg Principles.

26. Under the SCO framework, racial discrimination issues might arise from the cooperative efforts of other SCO member states, some of which border the XUAR. For instance, the Shanghai Convention obligates member states to cooperate on extradition, raising serious concerns over individuals at risk of persecution, mistreatment or execution upon extradition to the State party’s jurisdiction. SCO members also share intelligence via the Regional Anti-Terrorist Structure (RATS), which has created a database with alarming potential for misuse. Finally, it is unclear whether any oversight is exercised by the State party or an SCO process concerning a member’s application of one of the “three evil” labels to an individual or group operating within that particular member’s borders.

27. HRIC urges the Committee to request information regarding the State party’s participation in the SCO and the impact of State party measures to implement the SCO on the State party’s obligations under international law, including the Convention.

28. HRIC also urges the Committee to request from the State party specific and detailed information:
   - The databases maintained by the SCO’s RATS mechanism, the types of individualized information that are compiled and analyzed by RATS, and how that information is used and exchanged by the State party as an SCO member;
   - The number of individuals extradited to or from the State party’s jurisdiction pursuant to the Shanghai Convention, and for what crimes; and
   - Protections for ensuring the appropriate balance of national security and protection of rights under international standards.

Economic development and impact on Mongol culture

29. The State party’s report places emphasis on economic development policies and reported increases in aggregated incomes in the IMAR to suggest improvements in the lives of Mongols. Moreover, without baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, a meaningful assessment of implementation progress is also hampered. However, the State party report’s lack of detailed information disaggregated by ethnicity, combined with reports of mass displacements of Mongol
herdsmen and their households, raises grave concerns about the equal enjoyment of rights by members of the Mongol ethnic group.

30. For instance, the State party’s “eco-development” policies have resulted in the forced displacement and relocation of hundreds of thousands of Mongol herders and their families away from their ancestral lands. Reports state that approximately 800,000 Mongols have been dispossessed of their land and livestock since 2001, forced impossibly to adapt to living conditions and occupations for which they have inadequate preparation or training. The State party’s policies give rise to serious concerns about the destruction of the Mongol group’s pastoral culture and way of life.

31. HRIC urges the Committee to request that the State party provide detailed statistics, disaggregated by ethnicity, on the impact of IMAR economic development and ecological management policies on the rights of Mongols and other ethnic groups. Specifically, the Committee should request detailed disaggregated information related to the following:

- The number of ethnic group households that have been displaced and relocated by the State party’s desertification prevention programs;
- The locations to which such households have been relocated, and the numbers relocated to the respective locations;
- Whether and to what extent displaced ethnic group households have been compensated for dispossession of their land and assets, including livestock;
- Whether and to what extent the State party has implemented economic, social and cultural adjustment programs, including occupational skills training, for displaced ethnic group households relocated to urban areas; and
- Whether and to what extent displaced members of ethnic groups have been able to find new occupations or livelihoods in their new locations.

Descent-based discrimination against rural hukou holders

32. Under the State party’s hukou household registration system, close to one billion rural hukou holders experience unequal enjoyment of their fundamental rights under Article 5 of the Convention. Due to barriers to alteration of hukou status, rural hukou holders suffer descent-based discrimination and unequal access to social welfare benefits in comparison to their urban counterparts. These inequalities undermine both the State party’s stated policy to “place people first” and its efforts to build a “harmonious society characterized by democracy, rule of law, equity and justice.”

33. Through the hukou system, all citizens are given their hukou identification through a registration process administered by local public security authorities. In 1963,
authorities began categorizing citizens into either “agricultural” (rural) or “non-agricultural” (urban) residents according to their particular place of residence. Children inherit their parents’ hukou status when they are born, thereby inheriting their social identities through descent.48

34. The State party links social benefits and rights to hukou identification, thus creating the effect of impairing the equal enjoyment of rights by rural hukou holders. This state-constructed hukou identity serves as the basis for systematically depriving rural hukou holders of core rights and perpetuates their economically and politically disadvantaged status, including after they migrate to urban areas. Though narrow channels for crossing hukou barriers exist, such as becoming an officer in the military or passing college entrance exams,49 these channels are not available to the majority of migrant workers and rural residents.50 Eligibility for public benefits and services based on hukou status constitute descent-based preferences that put rural hukou holders on unequal footing with urban hukou holders. Ongoing problems of the hukou system have been recognized by the State party, as reflected in reform efforts.

35. Since the Committee’s last review in 2001, the State party has further implemented a series of hukou reforms in an attempt to address the wide gap and growing inequalities between rural and urban residents, including reforms to lessen the effect of discrimination against rural hukou holders. Some examples of reform include:

- At the provincial level there are trends towards abolishing or relaxing the distinction between an “agricultural” and “non-agricultural” hukou and allowing rural residents to obtain an urban hukou after meeting certain requirements (e.g., possession of a stable job and stable residence).
- Recently at the national level, the National Development and Reform Commission indicated that restrictions in the hukou system will be abolished by 2020.
- In April 2009, the State party’s Human Rights Action Plan (2009-2010) referenced pushing forward hukou reforms to relax requirements for settling in cities.

For details on select State party reforms since 2001, see Annex 3.

36. Notwithstanding the State party’s reform efforts, there has been only limited effect on the elimination of hukou-based discrimination, which has even been perpetuated in some cases. Ongoing problems include:

- For most rural hukou holders who migrate to urban areas, requirements to obtain a local hukou such as having a “stable job or source of income” and a
“stable place of residence for a specified period of time” are too difficult to overcome.\textsuperscript{51}

- The implementation of hukou reforms initiated at the provincial level is uneven from one province to the next.\textsuperscript{52}
- At the national level, although the State party’s Human Rights Action Plan (2009-2010) referenced hukou reforms, the scope of the reforms are limited to “small and medium-sized cities” without a specific timeframe or implementation mechanism.\textsuperscript{53}

37. In face of this ongoing hukou-based discrimination, there have been civil society efforts to challenge the restrictions for obtaining a local urban hukou. For example, since April 2007, Beijing public interest lawyers Cheng Hai [程海] and Li Subin [李苏滨] have filed several lawsuits against the public security departments to request a change of hukou status. The lawyers requested that the public security authorities observe the Regulations on Household Registration [中华人民共和国户口登记条例]. Through these lawsuits, the lawyers’ goal was to promote institutional changes in the hukou system that would allow every citizen the right to obtain a hukou in his or her habitual residence\textsuperscript{54}.

As of May 2009, the lawyers have not won any of the lawsuits at the lower trial levels and are still pursuing appeals. Pending the court’s decisions, public security authorities continue to implement regulations that restrict the majority of rural hukou holders, both rural residents and migrant workers, from changing their hukou status.

**Two Lawyers’ Lawsuits**

Lawyers Cheng Hai [程海] and Li Subin [李苏滨] are originally from other provinces but have lived in Beijing for many years. After several unsuccessful attempts at applying to change their original hukou into a Beijing hukou based on the Regulations on Household Registration [中华人民共和国户口登记条例] (“RHR”) passed by the Standing Committee of the NPC (the highest legislature in China), they filed lawsuits against the Beijing Public Security Department for refusing their application to grant them a Beijing hukou.

Lawyers Cheng and Li argued that the RHR requires citizens to register as inhabitants in their habitual residence (Art. 6) and when they leave their original habitual residence for more than 3 months, they must apply to change their original hukou to one in their new habitual residence (Art. 16). The lawyers claimed that according to the RHR, they have the right to acquire a Beijing hukou because Beijing is their current habitual residence. However, the Beijing Public Security Department used lower-level regulations promulgated by the Ministry of Public Security and the Beijing government to restrict them from acquiring such a hukou. The two lawyers argued that the lower-level regulations contradict the higher-level RHR, and that the public security authorities should observe the higher-level RHR instead of the lower-level regulations. The lawyers have not won any of the lawsuits, but they have continued to appeal the court decisions as of May 2009.\textsuperscript{55}
38. The over 200 million rural-to-urban migrant workers continue to face descent-based discrimination due to their inability to change their hukou. Through the State party’s measures, which link hukou identification with provision of public rights and services, descent-based discrimination disadvantages these migrants in a number of significant areas, including education, employment, healthcare, housing and political participation.

- **Education**
  - Although the Ministry of Finance has abolished the collection of “temporary student fees,” an additional educational fee is levied on migrant children based on their hukou status, the majority of migrant families are still paying these fees, which constitute a significant portion of the average migrant’s annual income.
  - Students with an urban hukou in large cities can be admitted into the city’s universities with a lower exam score, which has the effect of impairing equal enjoyment of educational rights for rural hukou students.

- **Employment**
  - Hukou-based discrimination is still prevalent in the workplace. Local governments of large cities have used regulations and policies to exclude migrants from the enjoyment of employment opportunities.
  - Even if hired, those who do not have a local urban hukou usually do not enjoy equal wage and benefits compared to local urban hukou holders.
  - Migrant workers are especially vulnerable to such discrimination because they are often unaware of their rights and do not have financial or legal resources to combat the discrimination.

- **Healthcare**
  - Access to many health care insurance programs are conditional on having a local urban hukou. Thus, rural migrants are often denied medical care in urban areas and are restricted in their ability to obtain subsidized healthcare.

- **Housing**
  - In most large cities, the government provides preferential housing benefits only to local urban hukou holders to purchase and rent housing at a lower cost.

- **Political Participation**
  - Hukou registration is commonly linked to voting rights. Without a local hukou, migrants are often required to vote in their place of registration. Localities such as Beijing have undertaken reforms for allowing migrants to vote in their place of habitual residence. These reforms, however, generally require migrants to first return to their place of hukou registration and obtain official approval to vote in their place of actual habitual residence.
burdensome requirements have the effect of restricting the ability of poor migrants to exercise their voting rights on equal footing with urban hukou holders.

39. During the current economic crisis, many migrant workers are now being forced to return to rural areas as a result of widespread unemployment. In March 2009, figures showed that the number of unemployed migrant workers had risen to 23 million. This trend raises concerns that a massive number of displaced migrant workers, desperate for work, are even more vulnerable to exploitation in the workforce, thereby amplifying existing hukou-related discrimination. Other concerns include:

- As migrant workers are forced to return to their hometowns, additional conflicts and problems arise from land contracts. Many migrant workers, believing that they would work in the cities long-term, leased out their land to neighbors to farm. However, upon their forced return, the migrant workers renege on their contracts and reclaim the land, sometimes through violence.

- Migrant workers, after their return to their hometowns, experience both the lack of employment opportunities and the unequal social rights and benefits to which rural hukou residents in general are subject.

40. The failure to meaningfully address descent-based discrimination against China’s approximately one billion people rural hukou holders not only undermines the Committee’s comprehensive review of the State party’s progress, but also the State party’s implementation of the Convention. The State party has acknowledged that the hukou system and resulting hukou-based discrimination require reform. Inclusion of the discrimination of rural hukou holders under the Committee’s review would contribute to the State party’s reform efforts and effective implementation of the Convention.

41. HRIC urges the Committee to press the State party to provide specific information on current reforms to the hukou household registration system, including specific details concerning progress, timeframes, and impact of these reforms on the equal enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms protected under the Convention.

42. In addressing inequalities between rural and urban areas, HRIC also urges the Committee to request that the State party provide more detailed and comprehensive information, including baseline information, benchmarks, and comparative indicators, regarding the enjoyment of economic, social, and cultural rights by rural inhabitants, including rural-to-urban migrants, and the measures taken to ensure that rural inhabitants have equal enjoyment of these rights.
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**Annex 1**

*Previous requests by the Committee and other treaty bodies for disaggregated statistical information*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Bodies and Special Mechanisms</th>
<th>Specific Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), 27 September 1996, para. 23&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>• Comprehensive information regarding the composition of the population, the geographic areas where minority nationalities are concentrated, their standard of living and other educational and social indices [...] also as far as possible with respect to those [minorities] dispersed in various regions [...] information regarding the legal protection available to dispersed minorities relative to the rights provided for in the Convention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concluding observations of CERD, 8 September 2001, para. 250&lt;sup&gt;73&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>• Socio-economic data, disaggregated by national and ethnic group, and information on measures taken to prevent gender-related racial discrimination, including in the area of trafficking and reproductive health. • Statistics, disaggregated by nationality and region, relating to detention, imprisonment, alleged, investigated and prosecuted cases of torture, death sentences and executions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concluding observations of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 13 May 2005, para. 40&lt;sup&gt;74&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>• Updated annually collected comparative data disaggregated by sex, age and rural/urban regions in the fields of all the provisions in the Covenant, paying particular attention to the disadvantaged and marginalized groups. • Annual comparative data, disaggregated by rural/urban regions, the percentage of gross domestic product allotted for education, health and housing programmes, in particular in the ethnic minority regions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concluding observations of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 13 May 2005, para. 67&lt;sup&gt;75&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>• Detailed information, including disaggregated comparative statistics, to evaluate progress made and obstacles encountered in the implementation of all the provisions of the Covenant in the ethnic minority regions, including in XUAR and TAR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREATY BODIES AND SPECIAL MECHANISMS</strong></td>
<td><strong>SPECIFIC REQUEST</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Committee on the Rights of the Child, Consideration of Reports Submitted by States Parties under Article 44 of the Convention, Concluding observations: China, 24 November 2005, para. 23<sup>76</sup> | • Reliable and comprehensive statistical data on all areas covered by the Convention and ensure that such data are systematically **made available to the public in a timely manner** in all parts of the State party.  
• Developing **central databanks for statistics on children** for the mainland and the SARs, so as to ensure that statistical data are used for the development, implementation and monitoring of appropriate policies and programmes for children. |
| • Concluding comments of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, 25 August 2006, para. 14<sup>77</sup> | • Study obstacles to data collection and to enhance the collection and the wide availability of **sex disaggregated statistical information, by region and by ethnic group**, in regard to each of the provisions of the Convention. |
| • Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture, 21 November 2008, para 2, para 15<sup>78</sup> | • Statistical data relevant to the monitoring of the implementation of the Convention at the national level, **disaggregated by gender, ethnicity, age, geographical region and type and location of place of deprivation of liberty**, including data on complaints, investigations, prosecutions and convictions of cases of torture and ill-treatment, detention conditions, abuses by public officials, administrative detention, death penalty cases, and violence against women, ethnic and religious minorities. |
Annex 2

Relevant state secret classifications and previous requests by the Committee for disaggregated statistical information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001 COMMITTEE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</th>
<th>CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY</th>
<th>CLASSIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“[S]ocio-economic data, disaggregated by national and ethnic group, and information on measures taken to prevent gender-related racial discrimination, including in the area of trafficking and reproductive health.” (Paragraph 250)</td>
<td>“Compiled data at the provincial level and higher regarding the trafficking of women.”</td>
<td>Secret (秘密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Figures on cases of kidnapping and trafficking in humans and figures on those kidnapped or sold; cases involving the kidnapping and trafficking of women belonging to ethnic minorities.”</td>
<td>Neibu/Internal (内部)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistics on “the number of deaths resulting from problems with surgical birth control procedures or family planning” and “the number of induced abortions.”</td>
<td>National, provincial or autonomous region level: Highly Secret (机密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prefectural level: Secret (秘密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>County level: Neibu/Internal (内部)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics from family planning departments at the county level and higher on the gender and sex ratio of second and third-born children” and “cases of deaths or disabilities resulting from problems with surgical birth control procedures or family planning.”</td>
<td>Neibu/Internal (内部)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 COMMITTEE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</td>
<td>CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY</td>
<td>CLASSIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“[S]tatistics, disaggregated by nationality and region, relating to detention, imprisonment, alleged, investigated and prosecuted cases of torture, death sentences and executions.” (Paragraph 250)</td>
<td>“Compiled information and statistics that have not yet been made public on criminals that have been arrested, captured, sent for reeducation-through-labor or juvenile rehabilitation, or taken in for shelter and investigation in any directly-administered municipality, autonomous region or province throughout the country.”</td>
<td>Secret (秘密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics and compiled information on death sentences nationwide.”</td>
<td>Top Secret (绝密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics and compiled information on death sentences within provinces, autonomous regions or directly-administered municipalities.”</td>
<td>Highly Secret (机密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics and specific case details regarding the use of torture to extract confessions and corporal punishment abuse that led to serious consequences.”</td>
<td>Highly Secret (机密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics on criminal cases, and on those arrested in connection with such cases, that have not yet been made public either national wide or within provinces, autonomous regions or directly-administered municipalities.”</td>
<td>Secret (秘密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Statistics and compiled information on death sentences within provincially-administered municipalities.”</td>
<td>Secret (秘密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Annual or monthly statistics on national cases involving the sentencing, ratification or implementation of the death penalties.”</td>
<td>Top Secret (绝密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Annual or monthly statistics cases tried at the provincial, autonomous region or directly-administered municipality level, as well as all military cases that involve the sentencing, ratification or implementation of the death penalty.”</td>
<td>Highly Secret (机密)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 COMMITTEE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</td>
<td>CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY</td>
<td>CLASSIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • “Annual or monthly statistics on cases tried by intermediate people’s courts involving the sentencing or implementation of the death penalty.”  
  | Secret                  | (秘密)          |
| • “Criminal judiciary forms for reporting statistics on cases other than those involving the death penalty tried by people’s courts at the intermediate level and above.”  
  | Secret                  | (秘密)          |
| • “Statistics nationwide and for any province, autonomous region or directly-administered municipality on the number of new prisoner executions and unusual deaths in prisons, juvenile detention facilities and reeducation-through-labor facilities.”  
  | Highly Secret        | (机密)          |
| • “Statistics at the level of province, autonomous region or directly-administered municipality below on the number of new prisoner executions and unusual deaths in prisons, juvenile detention facilities and reeducation-through-labor facilities.”  
  | Secret                  | (秘密)          |
| • “Compiled annual and quarterly statistics on prisoners currently in detention nationwide.”  
  | Secret                  | (秘密)          |
| • “Case details and guidelines on how to handle foreign inquiries regarding criminal and economic cases of definite significance concerning state security, national unity or foreign relations that have been handled by lawyers and not yet made public.”  
  | Neibu/Internal           | (内部)          |
| • “Data on instances of police officers causing injuries or disabilities to prisoners or reeducation-through-labor inmates and instances of police officers violating the law or discipline.”  
<p>| Neibu/Internal           | (内部)          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2001 COMMITTEE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION</th>
<th>CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY</th>
<th>CLASSIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• “Information not yet released on the investigations or trials of cases of judicial administration officers who violate discipline.” ⁹⁸</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neibu/Internal (内部)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Statistics and information not yet made public on any judicial administration work that is not a state secret.” ⁹⁹</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neibu/Internal (内部)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex 3
(Compiled by Human Rights in China)

Select hukou reforms

• **2001** – The State Council issues an Opinion on reforming the hukou system in small cities to allow rural residents to obtain an urban hukou under certain circumstances such as having a legal and stable place of residence and a stable income. The Opinion authorizes local governments to implement hukou reforms, bringing about reforms such as allowing “floating populations” to acquire a local hukou under certain circumstances.

• **2003** – Local governments initiate hukou reform measures such as abolishing the “blue stamp” hukou and the distinction between an “agricultural” and “non-agricultural” hukou, and further relax the requirements for obtaining a local hukou. Some local governments begin to allow those with a higher education or expertise in certain fields to obtain a local urban hukou.

• **End of 2008** – Thirteen out of thirty-four provinces, autonomous regions and municipalities have implemented reforms to abolish the distinction between an “agricultural hukou” and “non-agricultural hukou” as well as unify the registration system in rural and urban areas.

• **January 2008** – Ma Liqiang, deputy secretary general of China’s official National Development and Reform Commission, indicates that restrictions in the hukou system will be eliminated by 2020 (but does not set a specific timetable). However, the Ministry of Public Security (MPS) is of the opinion that the hukou system will not be abolished but rather, the requirements for obtaining an urban hukou will be made more lenient.

• **March 2008** – It was reported that 14 government agencies led by the State Council are working on hukou reforms to establish a uniform hukou system in urban and rural areas to alleviate the restrictions on changing one’s hukou. The MPS has drafted an Opinion on reforms of the hukou administration system based on discussions with other government agencies. However, the MPS spokesperson does not present a specific timeframe for the reforms.

• **April 2009** – The National Human Rights Action Plan of China (2009-2010) specifically includes reform of the hukou household registration system as a goal and states, “Efforts will be made to push forward the reform of the household registration system to gradually relax the requirements for settling down in small and medium-sized cities.” Reforms are limited to small and medium-sized cities and neither a timeframe nor implementation mechanism is indicated.
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